

### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/482,830	01/11/2000	KURT H LOHSE	LOHSE-1 7571		
75	90 09/20/2002				
LEONARD T	- <del>-</del>	EXAMINER			
A PROFESSIONAL LAW CORPORATION 17961 SKY PARK CIRCLE			LASTRA, DANIEL		
SUITE 38-E IRVINE, CA	26146364		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3622		
			DATE MAILED: 09/20/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No		Applicant(s)	1)			
Office Action Summary		09/482,830		LOHSE, KURT H				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		DANIEL LASTE		3622				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	ppears on the cove	r sheet with the c	orrespondence addre	ss			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of or reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute to reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I.  1.136(a). In no event, how  ply within the statutory mid  d will apply and will expire  ute, cause the application	ever, may a reply be tim nimum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this comm D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01	1 July 2002 .						
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) 1	This action is non-f	inal.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
· _	ion of Claims							
4)[2]	Claim(s) 1.3-8 and 10-14 is/are pending in the application.							
<b>6</b> \□	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration							
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-8 and 10-14</u> is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election require	ement					
	ion Papers	or election require	anen.					
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acc	epted or b) objec	ted to by the Exar	miner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to		<u>=</u>	, ,				
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ approv	ed b)⊡ disappro	ved by the Examiner.				
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in r		ction.					
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner.						
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	gn priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).				
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
* (	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International E See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	Bureau (PCT Rule	17.2(a)).		age			
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes				plication).			
_a	) $\square$ The translation of the foreign language p Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome:	rovisional applicat	ion has been rec	eived.	,			
Attachmen				••••••				
2) 🔲 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4) 5) 6)	Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s). Patent Application (PTO-19				

Application/Control Number: 09/482,830 Page 2

Art Unit: 3622

1. Claims 1, 3-8 and 10-14 have been examined.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 4-8 and 11-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Scroggie et al (U.S. 6,014,634) in view of Golden et al (U.S. 5,761,648) and further in view of DeLapa et al (U.S. 6,076,068).

As per claim 1, Scroggie et al teach:

A method for providing discount incentives to potential customers for making purchases from service or goods providers; the method comprising the steps of:

providing an Internet website for providers to set their respective discount parameters for issuing discount coupons to potential customers (see column 4, lines 1-6);

permitting access to said website by said potential customers to select providers from whom they wish to make purchases (see column 3, lines 10-50);

Scroggie et al fail to teach, establishing customer intended spending amount and period of time for a selected provider and indicating the corresponding discount parameters for the selected provider; and presenting a website display of a customer discount coupon corresponding to said discount parameters for printout by a customer for subsequent redemption at a provider's place of business. However, DeLapa et al

Application/Control Number: 09/482,830 Page 3

Art Unit: 3622

teach of a coupon system that creates coupons dynamically from purchase parameters that relate to the customers (see column 18, lines 36-67 — column 19, lines 1-10). DeLapa creates coupons such as the one in figure 20, item 408, where there is a discount for any purchase greater than \$50 and within the expiration date. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the application was made, to know that Scroggie would create coupons dynamically from purchase data or input data provided by the customers, as taught by DeLapa. When the customer inputs that he or she intends to purchase more than certain amount of money, the corresponding coupon would be printed (see figure 20, item 408).

As per claim 4, Scroggie et al teach:

The method recited in claim 1 further comprising the step of establishing a data file of coupon generation for each said provider (see column 4, lines 1-6).

As per claim 5, Scroggie et al teach:

The method recited in claim 1 further comprising the step of including at least one verification number on each said discount coupon (see figure 11).

As per claim 6, Scroggie et al teach:

The method recited in claim 1 further comprising the step of displaying a search page at said website for permitting a potential customer to search for a provider based upon selected criteria (see columns 3-4).

As per claim 7, Scroggie et al teach:

Application/Control Number: 09/482,830

Art Unit: 3622

The method recited in claim 6 wherein said search criteria comprise at least one criterion taken from the group consisting of location, nature of products offered, nature of services offered and timing of provider registration at said website (see columns 3-4).

As per claim 8, Scroggie et al teach:

A method of issuing electronically generated merchant-specific discount coupons to consumers over an Internet communications link; the method comprising the steps of:

establishing an Internet website on said link, said website having a selected address (see column 4, lines 20-30);

providing at least one website page for merchants to set their respective discount parameters for said discount coupons (see column 4, lines 1-6);

providing at least one website page for consumers to select one of said merchants (see column 3);

Scroggie et al fail to teach:

providing at least one website page for consumers to indicate their desired spending amount;

determining the discount parameters of a merchant based upon the spending amount selected by a consumer;

presenting a website page having an electronic discount coupon representing the discount parameters for the selected merchant; and permitting a consumer to print the presented page for subsequent redemption of said discount coupon at the selected merchant.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/482,830

Art Unit: 3622

However, DeLapa et al teach a coupon that creates coupons dynamically from purchase parameters that relate to the customers (see column 18, lines 36-67 – column 19, lines 1-10). DeLapa creates coupons such as the one in figure 20, item 408 where there is a discount for any purchase greater than \$50 and within the expiration date. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the application was made, to know that Scroggie would create coupons dynamically from purchase data or input data provided by the customers, as taught by DeLapa. When the customer inputs that he or she intends to purchase more than certain amount of money, the corresponding coupon would be printed (see figure 20, item 408).

Claims 11-14 contain the same limitation as claims 4-7 therefore the same rejection is applied.

As per claims 3 and 10, Scroggie et al fail to teach:

The method recited in claim 1 wherein said presenting step further comprises the steps of generating a map indicating the location of a selected provider and adding said map to said website display. However, the article YahooAddsMaps of 50 Cities teaches that any website which contains location content can easily add mapping features and services (see paragraph 3). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the application was made, to know that Scroggie would include mapping capabilities to guide customers to the stores where they would redeem the coupons.

## Response to Arguments

3. The Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3-8 and 10-14 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

# Page 6

#### Conclusion

4. The Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). The Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DANIEL LASTRA whose telephone number is 703-306-5933. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30-3:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, ERIC W STAMBER can be reached on 703-305-8469. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9326 for regular communications and 703-872-9327 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1113.

1), L

Daniel Lastra

September 9, 2002

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600